REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The 7/28/05 Office Action set forth a final rejection of claims 61-65 and indicated that the other pending claims in the application were allowed.

The above amendment cancels previously pending claims 61-65 without prejudice to the filing of a continuation case to pursue such claims. It is respectfully submitted that this cancellation of claims 61-65 should not be taken as an indication that Applicants agree with the analysis set forth in the 7/28/05 Office Action, regarding these claims.

Claims 11-60 remain pending in this application, and as indicated on page 1 of the Office Action, these remaining claims have been allowed. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

On December 21, 2004 a supplemental information disclosure statement was mailed to the USPTO in connection with this case. A copy of this IDS along with the PTO-1449 form and a post card indicating receipt by the USPTO is submitted herewith. It appears that a copy of the PTO-1449 form of the IDS, with the Examiner's indication of consideration of the references cited in the IDS was not provided with the 3/25/05 Office Action. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner provide a copy of the PTO-1449 form with an indication of consideration of the references.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, it is believed that all claims present in this application are patentably distinguished over the references, and in condition for allowance. Therefore, reconsideration is requested, and it is requested that this application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP

Dated: August 25, 2005

Brian J. Keating (Reg. No. 39,520)

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Atty Docket No.: ALTU-530